

# FAIR Health

## mHealth and Citizen Science

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 824666



European  
Commission



*DISCLAIMER: Any dissemination of results reflects only the author's view and the European Commission is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.*

*COPYRIGHT MESSAGE: © FAIR4Health Consortium, 2019*

*This report contains original unpublished work except where clearly indicated otherwise. Acknowledgement of previously published material and of the work of others has been made through appropriate citation, quotation or both. Reproduction is authorised provided the source is acknowledged.*

## Document Information

GRANT AGREEMENT NUMBER 824666		ACRONYM: FAIR4Health	
<b>Full title</b>	Improving Health Research in EU through FAIR Data		
<b>Horizon 2020 Call</b>	SwafS-04-2018: Encouraging the re-use of research data generated by publically funded research projects		
<b>Type of action</b>	Research and Innovation Action		
<b>Start Date</b>	1 <sup>st</sup> December 2018	<b>Duration</b>	36 months
<b>Website</b>	<a href="http://www.fair4health.eu">www.fair4health.eu</a>		
<b>Project Officer</b>	Raluca Iagher		
<b>Project Coordinator</b>	Carlos Luis Parra Calderón, Andalusian Health Service		
<b>Report</b>	mHealth and Citizen Science		
<b>Related task</b>	Report: mHealth and Citizen Science		
<b>Release date</b>	May 2019		
<b>Dissemination Level</b>	Public		
<b>Responsible Author</b>	Jara Eloísa Ternero Vega		
<b>e-mail</b>	jaraeloisa@hotmail.com		
<b>Collaborators</b>	Francisco J. Núñez-Benjumea, Ninfa M. Aguayo Martínez		
<b>Keywords</b>	Digital health, citizen, digital technologies, innovations.		

## Table of Contents

List of acronyms.....	2
Review: mHealth and citizen science.....	3
References.....	6

## List of acronyms

- WHO** World Health Organization
- ICU** Intensive Care Unit
- CSQ** Client Satisfaction Questionnaire
- SUS** System Usability Scale

## Review: mHealth and citizen science

In recent years, there has been a change in health care systems due to an increase of developments in technology. Recent figures published by WHO (World Health Organization), show that the health care systems of most countries are becoming increasingly dependent on digital health [1]. It is expected that medical practice will become more reliant on the aid of algorithms produced by artificial intelligence in order to make diagnostic and therapeutic decisions. It is expected that progress will be made in this area by considerably improving the quality of the health care provided currently.

Digital health owes its rapid expansion to an increase in access to patients' clinical history. This includes a wide range of new digital technologies such as health information technology, electronic medical records, telemedicine, patient portals, mobile applications and personalized medical services.

Citizens are the driving force behind this rapid development of digital health. Health systems are continuously looking for new ways to increase the level of patient participation and satisfaction [2,3]. In this way, citizens can participate more actively alongside technology and providers in order to receive a completely personalized experience when it comes to their health. This in turn has a high probability to lead to an increase in patient satisfaction, a better understanding of overall health status, and an improvement in the reception of results while reducing costs [4]. These technologies allow patients to input and search for information such as test results, scheduling of appointments, and communication with medical providers thus improving the patient-medical relationship and improving the management of diseases and illnesses.

Additionally, the surge of mobile applications related to health in recent years will provide citizens the ability to better understand their health and achieve their

overall goals related to their well-being. Having said that, it comes of no surprise that of the more than one million applications available, over 325,000 are health related [5].

In recent years, several studies have been conducted that analyse the use of smart phone applications in relation to the health benefits of citizens, the vast majority of which show a positive effect on overall health. Similarly, there are studies which analyse other indicators related to these devices, including viability, usability, acceptability, trust, security and satisfaction. We cite one particular literature review by Maramba et al. [5] that aimed to identify and explore the current methods related to the usability of health applications. As with other digital instruments, ease of use is one of the key factors in successful implementation. Authors concluded that questionnaires are the most prevalent method used to evaluate the usability and ease of these types of applications. Other methods that were analysed were qualitative methods, use of multiple methods and automated methods.

One randomized clinical trial was conducted on patients after discharge from ICU due to respiratory failure, in order to test the feasibility, acceptability, and usability of a mobile, self-directed mindfulness training app in comparison to both used methods: a therapist-led telephone-based mindfulness program as well as a web-based critical illness education program. Feasibility was assessed by comparison of observed frequencies to *a priori*-specified targets of informed consent among eligible patients (70%); randomization of consented participants (60%), retention (80%); and among participants who neither dropped out nor died, who completed all interviews (75%), completed all weekly surveys (60%; mobile group only), and completed all intervention sessions (50%). Acceptability was measured by an adapted Client Satisfaction Questionnaire (CSQ) which assessed credibility and satisfaction (range 9 [low] to 36 [highest]). Usability of the mobile app was assessed with open-ended participant feedback and with the 10-item System

Usability Scale (SUS); 0 [lowest] to 100 [highest]). The study concluded that the majority preferred the mobile app as a method of delivery. More importantly, mobile mindfulness performed similarly to therapist-led mindfulness training program and generally better than an education program [6].

Innovations in digital health face several ethical and political challenges. We have argued that in order for digital health products and applications to produce tangible innovation and health impacts, either at individual or population level, four conditions must be met [3]. First, data is of paramount importance for digital health: access to sufficient amounts of data is thus a primary requirement for the development of innovative diagnostic and therapeutic. Second, alignment with existing legal provisions regarding data protection, data security and privacy are key to digital health innovation. Legal frameworks can thus have a major impact in facilitating or hindering progress in this field. Nonetheless, legal provisions do not address the full range of ethical issues in data processing. Nor do they cover the full spectrum of legitimate concerns of data subjects. Third, robust and transparent accountability mechanisms should ensure the precise identification of responsibility for data uses and their consequences on individuals, families and communities. What is more, accountability also sets up mechanisms for communicating health relevant information to data subjects. Fourth, evidence of safety and efficacy is a significant condition for the success of digital health. Licensed digital health products and applications will have to go through extensive assessment processes.

We conclude that health systems are slowly moving towards digital health. Research and support for health information technologies related to patient participation for its potential benefits is important. In this sense, it seems reasonable and advisable to include accessible, legally compliant, accountable and safe mobile technologies as an effective method for boosting citizen science in health research.



## References

- [1] World Health Organization. Global diffusion of eHealth: making universal health coverage achievable. Report of the third global survey on eHealth. Geneva: WHO Document Production Services; 2016.
- [2] Kouroubali A, Katehakis DG. The New European Interoperability Framework as a Facilitator of Digital Transformation for Citizen Empowerment. *J Biomed Inform.* 2019; 9:103-166. doi: 10.1016/j.jbi.2019.103166.
- [3] Vayena E, Haeusermann T, Adjekum A, Blasimme A. Digital health: meeting the ethical and policy challenges. *Swiss Med Wkly.* 2018; 16:14-18. doi: 10.4414/smw.2018.14571
- [4] Walker DM, Sieck CJ, Menser T, Huerta TR, McAlearney AS, et al. Information technology to support patient engagement: where do we stand and where can we go?. *J Am Med Inform Assoc.* 2017; 1;24(6):1088-1094. doi: 10.1093/jamia/ocx043.
- [5] Maramba I, Chatterjee A, Newman C. Methods of usability testing in the development of eHealth applications: A scoping review. *Int J med Inform.* 2019; 126: 95-104. doi: 10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2019.03.018
- [6] Cox CE, Hough CL, Jones DM, Ungar A, Reagan W, Kew MD. Effects of mindfulness training programmes delivered by a self-directed mobile app and by telephone compared with an education programme for survivors of critical illness: a pilot randomised clinical trial. *Thorax.* 2019;74(1):33-42. doi: 10.1136/thoraxjnl-2017-211264.